

**Subject:** Re: General Benefits Payments  
**From:** Rick Scott  
**Date:** 04/04/2016 12:06 PM  
**To:** Blair Besten  
**CC:** Patti Berman <patti@historiccore.bid>

Blair,

I talked to Patti just now. I believe we have it straightened out.

Ink-signed original refers to any request for payment (invoice) submitted to the City which will be processed for validity and contract compliance and then submitted to the Controller for payment to the BID is required to have an original signature (in ink, not a copy, email or fax) by an authorized representative of the BID board.

The request for payment of the general benefit is the same as any other invoice that is submitted to our office except that we don't (unless the BID forgets during the year and we have to remind them) send a transmittal to the BID listing the amount and where the funds came from as is done with assessment funds.

Regarding the DWP grant, the 2015 funds have all been allocated and we have not heard anything at all about a 2016 DWP grant.

Hope this helps.

On Mon, Apr 4, 2016 at 10:43 AM, Blair Besten <[blair@historiccore.bid](mailto:blair@historiccore.bid)> wrote:


Rick,

Can you please clarify what you mean by "ink-signed originals?" We don't sign invoices usually so does the City have a form?

Also, Patti mentioned some sort of deadline. Can you tell us when you sent an email with these requirements or if there are guidelines somewhere that we might review? If you mean the DWP grant, things have been a little strange with this process in the past two years. They used to send us an email with the current application in the beginning of the year. In recent years, they delayed the process a bit but we still submitted as we always do, and made a guess using the forms from 2014 as a guideline since they did not send out anything new. As far as this year, we have no idea if these grants will still be available or what the process is, unless I am missing something. I confirmed this with Andrew (the new BID Consortium Chair) last week -- so apparently we need to clarify this information and make sure all the BIDs are following the same process this year. Regardless, we must receive general benefit allocation.

Thanks as always for your help. If you wouldn't mind copying me on your correspondence with Patti that would be great.

Regards,

	<p><b>Blair Besten</b> <i>Executive Director</i></p> <p>209-211 W 5th Street Los Angeles, California 90013</p> <p>p <a href="tel:213.488.1901">213.488.1901</a> c <a href="tel:213.700.9829">213.700.9829</a> <a href="http://HistoricCore.BID">HistoricCore.BID</a></p> <p>FOLLOW US ON: <a href="#">facebook</a> / <a href="#">twitter</a> / <a href="#">instagram</a></p> <p>24HR HOTLINE: <a href="tel:213.239.8336">213/239-8336</a></p>
---	---

On Thu, Mar 31, 2016 at 1:12 PM, Rick Scott <[rick.scott@lacity.org](mailto:rick.scott@lacity.org)> wrote:

No attachments to your email, besides I need ink-signed originals.

On Thu, Mar 31, 2016 at 12:45 PM, Patti Berman <[patti@historiccore.bid](mailto:patti@historiccore.bid)> wrote:

Hi Rick,

I am attaching two outstanding invoices for General Benefit payments for 2015 and 2016.

Originally, Taneda had us subtract \$5000 from the 2015 invoice for the DWP grant that we were to receive. However, we did not receive it, so I have added it back in.

Thank you,  
Patti

--

[Patti Berman](#)

[HCBID](#)  
[213.488.1901](#)  
209 W. 5th Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90013

--

Rick Scott  
Neighborhood and Business Improvement District Division  
Office of the City Clerk  
[213.978.1121](#) direct  
[213.978.1099](#) main  
Fax [213.978.1130](#)  
[Rick.Scott@lacity.org](mailto:Rick.Scott@lacity.org)

--

Rick Scott  
Neighborhood and Business Improvement District Division  
Office of the City Clerk  
213.978.1121 direct  
213.978.1099 main  
Fax 213.978.1130  
[Rick.Scott@lacity.org](mailto:Rick.Scott@lacity.org)